	oseph J. Tabacco, Jr. (SBN 75484)		
	Kristin J. Moody (SBN 206326)		
	A. Chowning Poppler (SBN 272870) BERMAN TABACCO		
	25 California Street, Suite 2300		
S	an Francisco, CA 94104		
	elephone: (415) 433-3200		
E	mail: jtabacco@bermantabacco.com		
	kmoody@bermantabacco.com cpoppler@bermantabacco.com		
	chokken		
L	ocal Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed C	Class	
л			
	atricia I. Avery (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) hilip M. Black (SBN 308619)		
	VOLF POPPER LLP		
	45 Third Avenue		
	lew York, NY 10022		
	elephone: (212) 759-4600 mail: pavery@wolfpopper.com		
	pblack@wolfpopper.com		
A	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
		RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRANC	ISCO DIVISION	
	ZARA LEVENTHAL, individually and on	Case No. 3:22-cv-01330-LB	
	behalf of all others similarly situated,		
		AMENDED CLASS ACTION	
	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
	v.	1. VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA	
	۰.	CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES	
	STREAMLABS LLC,	ACT, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.;	
		and	
	Defendant.	2. VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA	
		UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW, Cal.	
		Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.	
		CLASS ACTION	
		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	

1	Plaintiff Zara Leventhal ("Plaintiff" or "Leventhal"), individually and on behalf of all
2	others similarly situated, brings this action against Streamlabs LLC ("Streamlabs" or
3	"Defendant"), and alleges on information and belief, except as to the allegations that pertain to
4	Plaintiff, which are based on personal knowledge, as follows:
5	INTRODUCTION
6	1. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a nationwide class comprised of all
7	persons in the United States who were enrolled in the Streamlabs Pro automatic renewal
8	subscription after adding a GIF or effect to their donation, and were then billed \$5.99 per month
9	for the subscription.
10	2. Streamlabs is a company that offers live streaming software that enables video
11	streamers to broadcast their live stream on platforms such as YouTube and Twitch, and to
12	monetize their broadcasts by collecting donations from viewers through third-party payment
13	processors such as PayPal.
14	3. Consumers across the country often get unknowingly signed up for the Streamlabs
15	Pro auto-renewal subscription when they donate to streamers through Streamlabs and add a GIF
16	or effect to that one-time donation. As a result, they unknowingly end up with recurring charges
17	on their credit or debit cards from Streamlabs for months or even years, leaving them confused,
18	angry, and stressed by the charges because Streamlabs failed to make them aware of the
19	enrollment and automatic renewal nature of the subscription, the recurring charges as part of the
20	automatic renewal plan, as well as the length of the automatic renewal period, and failed to obtain
21	their affirmative consent to enrollment and the automatic renewal subscription before charging
22	them.
23	4. As testament to Streamlabs's deceptive business practices, online platforms such
24	as Twitter, YouTube, and Reddit are exploding with negative reviews regarding Streamlabs's
25	deceiving practices. For example, in the comment sections of several YouTube videos discussing
26	how to cancel Streamlabs Pro subscription, many people complained about their unknowing
27	enrollment in Streamlabs Pro, as well as the surprising bills they received from Streamlabs for
28	

1 months. Similarly, Reddit contains many discussion threads titled "Streamlabs Charged me?," 2 "Streamlabs Pro Subscription Warning," and "Charged 5.99\$ without Consent on Streamlabs." 3 5. In August 2020, Plaintiff donated \$5.00 to a streamer through Streamlabs and 4 added a GIF or an effect to emphasize her donation alert. However, she was not made aware by 5 Defendant that by adding a GIF or an effect to her one-time donation she was subscribing to 6 Streamlabs Pro and that the subscription automatically renews on a monthly basis until it is 7 cancelled. As a result, Plaintiff was automatically enrolled in a Streamlabs Pro subscription and 8 since then, she had been charged \$5.99 every month when the subscription automatically 9 renewed. Plaintiff noticed a total charge of \$59.90 on her PayPal account in May 2021. Shocked 10 and confused, she contacted Streamlabs inquiring about the unexpected bills. Streamlabs agreed 11 to cancel her Streamlabs Pro membership, but refused to refund her all of the recurring charges 12 from the subscription. 13 6. Defendant's alleged conduct violates the California Consumer Legal Remedies 14 Act ("CLRA"), California Civil Code § 1770(a)(5) and § 1770(a)(9), which, respectively, 15 prohibits any person in a transaction intended to result or that results in the sale of services to any 16 consumer to engage in unfair or deceptive acts or practices to (1) present that goods or services 17 have characteristics which they do not have; and to (2) advertise goods or services with intent not 18 to sell them as advertised. 19 7. Defendant's alleged conduct also violates the California Unfair Competition Law 20 ("UCL"), California Civil Code § 17200, which prohibits any unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent 21 business act or practice, or false, deceptive, or misleading advertising. 22 PARTIES 23 8. Plaintiff Zara Leventhal is an individual and a resident of New York. 24 9. Defendant Streamlabs is a California company with its headquarters in San 25 Francisco (according to the company's LinkedIn profile) and principal offices at 7700 Gateway 26 Blvd., Newark, California 94560 (according to the Statement of Information filed by Streamlabs 27 with the California Secretary of State). Defendant is a software company that primarily distributes 28 AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	livestreaming software. Streamlabs is a subsidiary and one of the brands of Logitech International		
2	S.A. ("Logitech International"), a Swiss corporation that acquired Streamlabs in 2019.		
3	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
4	10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C		
5	§ 1332, because the proposed class consists of 100 or more potential class members; the amount		
6	in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs; and		
7	minimal diversity exists. Plaintiff is a citizen of New York and Defendant is a citizen of		
8	California (where Defendant has its principal office and under whose laws Defendant is		
9	organized).		
10	11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because its principal business		
11	office is in California. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Plaintiff because Plaintiff		
12	consents to this Court's jurisdiction.		
13	12. Venue properly lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 because Defendant		
14	resides within this district within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §1391.		
15	DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT		
16	13. Pursuant to Northern District of California Civil Local Rules 3-2(c), 3-2(d), and 3-		
17	5(b), assignment to the San Francisco Division of this district is proper because Defendant's		
18	principal office is located in Alameda County, California.		
19	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS		
20	14. Streamlabs offers live streaming software that helps streamers to broadcast their		
21	live stream to platforms such as Twitch, YouTube, and Facebook.		
22	15. Streamlabs enables streamers to create a tip page, which is also known as a		
23	donation page, to monetize their broadcasts by collecting money from viewers through third-party		
24	payment processors. Streamlabs currently allows viewers to donate through PayPal, Legacy		
25	PayPal, credit cards, UnitPay, and Skrill.		
26	16. When setting up a tip page, streamers can manage the settings by, among other		
27	things, adjusting the suggested amount, setting minimum and maximum donation amounts, and		
28			
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 3		

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 5 of 20

enabling tipping presets. Streamers can also choose to turn off the "Allow Pro Viewers" and
 "Allow Pro Donation Gifs" options, which are selected by default.

3

4

5

17. Unless "Allow Pro Viewers" and "Allow Pro Donation Gifs" options are manually disabled, a streamer's tip page will show viewers who donate ("Donators") an option to add a GIF or add an effect to their donation alerts, *viz*.:¹

I							
(Streamlabs •	y 🖿 0 🛱			Donate	Merch 🗮 Login English •	
	Your Usemarne Kevin USD + \$1	1 \$3	\$5	\$10		Tim a huge fan	
	Donation Message Hi, fm a huge fan Share Media YouTube Video URL Extras (20) \$5.99/mo			Start Time	Month Week All Time Not enough tipping data		
	Add a GIF to your donati Add an effect to your don Donation to Streamlabs			USD 1			
Source 2022).		amlabs.com/con	<u>tent-hub/p</u>	ost/streamlabs	<u>-pro</u> (last acc	essed January	7,
	18. Notab	ly, Streamlabs h	as made cl	nanges to the w	vording and th	ne design of a ti	p page
over the	e years. The o	ld version (whic	h Plaintiff	encountered, a	as discussed b	elow) merely h	as the
		e "Donation GII			-	natically renewi	ng
member	rship fee. The	e picture below s	shows wha	t an old versio	n looks like:		
¹ Red bo	oxes in the sci	reenshots are in	original.				
		FION COMPLAIN					4

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 6 of 20

1		
2	In Streamlabs In Image in I	Donate Merch
3	Your Username Invalid username	
4	\$ 5.00	(name)donated \$(amount)(()00r message)
5	\$1 \$3 \$5 \$10	
6	Donation Message	Month Week All Time
7	Share Media Start Time YouTube Video URL Start Time	
8	Donate at least 1USD to use a GIF	
9	Donation GIFs (Peo) Q. Search For GIFs	
10		
11		
12	Your Effect Pro 0	
13	Kappa 2 Thearts PogChang Confett 2 <3 Krygasm	
14		
15	Donate	
16	This site uses cookies to provide you with a great experience. By using this site, you accept our	use of cookies. ×
17	Source: <u>https://streamlabs.com/content-hub/post/legacy-paypal-d</u>	onation-setup (last accessed
18	January 19, 2022).	
19	19. On this old version of the page, even if the Donato	r saw the "\$5.99/mo" on the
20	page pictured above, it was not clear that adding a GIF or effect to	a one-time donation would
21	lead to an ongoing and automatically renewing charge each month	1.
22	20. Adding a GIF or an effect is a feature that is exclus	tive to Streamlabs Pro members
23	and the membership costs \$5.99 per month. When the Donators cl	noose to use a GIF or an effect,
24	a \$5.99 charge for a Streamlabs Pro subscription will be automatic	cally added to the total payment
25	to Streamlabs.	
26	Streamlabs Pro: An Automatic Renewal Subscription	
27	21. Unbeknownst to the Donators who added a GIF or	an effect to their donation
28	alerts, Streamlabs Pro subscription renews automatically every me	onth for an additional calendar
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	5

1	month. In addition, the subscription imposes a monthly \$5.99 charge as part of the automatic
2	renewal plan on their debit or credit cards until it is cancelled.
3	22. Specifically, when Donators entered a tip amount at the donation page and
4	proceeded with a GIF or an effect added to the donation alerts, they were directed to a "Donation
5	Confirmation" page ("Confirmation Page") to confirm the donation amount.
6	23. Underneath the donation amount, there was a line item showing an additional
7	\$5.99 charge for a Streamlabs Pro subscription, and a notice read "You will be charged \$5.99 per
8	month by joining Streamlabs Pro, which allows you to add special effects and other features to
9	your alerts. Click here for more information." This donation page does not state that the
10	membership will automatically renew and that there will be an associated \$5.99 per month charge
11	as it does.
12	24. The \$5.99 charge and the notice were both printed in a font that is smaller in size
13	and lighter in color compared to the bolded font used for the donation amount, viz.:
14	
15	Donation Confirmation ×
16	Departing for Othersendation (24
17	Donation for Streamlabs \$1
18	Streamlabs Pro \$5.99
19	You will be charged \$5.99 per month by joining Streamlabs Pro, which allows you to
20	add special effects and other features to your alerts. <u>Click here</u> for more information.
21	
22	Ponate With PayPal
23	
24	Source: https://streamlabs.com/content.hub/post/streamlabs.pro. (last accessed lanuary 7, 2022)
25	Source: <u>https://streamlabs.com/content-hub/post/streamlabs-pro</u> (last accessed January 7, 2022). 25. Once the Donators confirmed the donation amount and a \$5.99 monthly charge for
26	
27	Streamlabs Pro, they were taken to a third-party payment processor to finish the transaction.

26. The Confirmation Page, however, also did not disclose to the Donators that at the
 end of each subscription period, Streamlabs Pro will be automatically renewed for an additional
 month until it is cancelled. Neither did it disclose that Streamlabs will charge the subscribers a
 \$5.99 monthly subscription fee on their credit or debit cards as it automatically renews.
 If the Donators clicked on the "Click here" link, they were taken to a webpage

6 (https://streamlabs.com/content-hub/post/streamlabs-pro) that explains the benefits, monthly cost,
7 cancellation and refund policy of Streamlabs Pro subscription ("Streamlabs Pro Website"). The
8 Streamlabs Pro Website, however, also did not disclose that Streamlabs Pro subscription is
9 subject to monthly automatic renewal until it is cancelled. It also did not disclose that Streamlabs
10 will keep charging Streamlabs Pro subscribers \$5.99 per month on their credit or debit cards until
11 the subscribers cancel the plan.

12 28. Streamlabs failed to present the automatic renewal function of Streamlabs Pro
13 subscription, including the automatic renewal arrangement, the recurring charges as part of the
14 automatic renewal plan, as well as the length of the automatic renewal period, in a clear and
15 conspicuous way that called the attention of Donators.

16 29. As explained above, from the moment Donators added a GIF or effect to their one17 time tip amount to the moment they are charged by Streamlabs, they were not notified nor asked
18 to give consent to the automatic renewal function of Streamlabs Pro subscription.

30. As a result, thousands of Donators who subscribed to Streamlabs Pro have been
unknowingly charged \$5.99 per month when their subscription automatically renewed. Some of
them were charged for the subscription for many months without realizing it.

22 31. Online platforms such as Reddit, Twitter, YouTube are filled with negative

24

- 23 reviews on Defendant's practices.
 - 32. For example, one post on Reddit states:
- Recently I found out that I've been being charged for Streamlabs Pro for the last three months. Not only they subscribed me without any notice, they now refuse to have any contact with me regarding the refund and cancellation.....
- 27 Source: https://www.reddit.com/r/youtubegaming/comments/gmtpol/streamlabs_pro_scam/ (last
- 28 accessed January 7, 2022).

AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	33. In the comment section of a YouTube video titled "Streamlabs 5.99 charge –
2	Cancel" (<i>https://www.youtube.com/watch</i> ? $v=4co$ 8H6ruGY& $t=105s$), people made similar
3	complaints about their unknowing enrollment in Streamlabs Pro, as well as the surprising bills
4	they received from Streamlabs for months.
5	34. Defendant was aware of the various Donators' complaints about the recurring
6	charges for Streamlabs Pro subscription in, at the latest, early 2019. But Defendant did not take
7	any effective measures to ensure Donators are clearly made aware of the automatic renewal
8	nature of Streamlabs Pro, nor did it change its billing practices to obtain affirmative consent from
9	the Donators before it placed monthly charges on their credit or debit cards, until apparently
10	sometime after Plaintiff filed her complaint on March 2, 2022.
11	35. For instance, under a Twitter thread posted in 2017, many Twitter users tagged
12	Defendant's official Twitter account and complained about the \$5.99 monthly charges on their
12	credit or debit cards for Streamlabs Pro. Defendant's official account on Twitter responded to
14	most of the complaints.
15	36. One complaint posted on May 3, 2019 reads:
16	
17	I was just charged \$6 for unkowingly [sic] being a Streamlabs Pro member. I didn't even know it existed at all?! All I have been using Streamlabs for so far has been opening it up, and starting streams. Not even clicked anything that allowed me to be charged D [sic]:
18	Another one posted on May 6, 2019 reads:
19	What the actual hell guys? I was charged for a pro service that I never signed up for. If
20	you automatically sign people up who donate that seems mighty unscrupulous. I will need my refund back for the last two months or I will have to report this to the proper authorities.
21	Source: https://twitter.com/streamlabs/status/908792641986449408?lang=en (last accessed
22	January 11, 2022).
23	37. Defendant's representations about Streamlabs Pro were motivated by an intent to
24	deceive, and to lure Donators into unknowingly signing up for the membership. Also, Defendant
25 26	was well aware that its representations about Streamlabs Pro were misleading. As mentioned above,
26	Defendant learned about Donators' complaints about their unknowing enrollment in Streamlabs
27	Pro from Twitter since 2019, if not before. But over the past three years, Defendant has not taken
28	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 8
	AWENDED CLASS ACTION COWITEAINT 0

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 10 of 20

1	any effective or meaningful measures to disclose the automatic renewal feature of the subscription		
2	until sometime after this lawsuit was filed. Defendant's intent to deceive and to lure Donators into		
3	subscribing to Streamlabs Pro can also be evidenced by its attempt to deviate their attention from		
4	the monthly fee for the subscription: on the Confirmation Page, both the \$5.99 monthly subscription		
5	fee and the notice about the fee were printed in a font size that is smaller than the surrounding text		
6	about the tip amount; in addition, the color of the text was lighter than the text used for the tip		
7	amount.		
8	Experiences with Streamlabs Pro Automatic Renewal Subscription		
9	Plaintiff Zara Leventhal		
10	38. On August 10, 2020, Leventhal donated \$5.00 to a streamer with her personal		
11	PayPal account through Streamlabs.		
12	39. The donation page gave her an option to add a GIF or an effect to her donation		
13	alert. Although the page says "PRO" on top of all the GIFs and effects, it did not explain what it		
14	means nor how much it costs. The tip page also did not contain any information about Streamlabs		
15	Pro subscription, such as its automatic renewal feature, the cost of each renewal, the future		
16	monthly charges on a subscriber's credit or debit cards, the cancellation policy, and the length of		
17	the renewal term. Below is a picture that matches what the tip page looked like when Leventhal		
18	donated:		
19	///		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 9		

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 11 of 20

🧗 Raquel 🥥	y 🕼 🖬 💿 🖬 🗘	Donate 🗮 Login English 🕶
Your Username Invalid username		Never solicited, always appreciated. <3 ty, ty for your kindness and generosity ^_^
\$	5.00	
USD - \$1	\$3 \$5 \$1	•
Donation Message		(fermount)(IS(aVERXVV)HERE(nemc))
Donate at least 1USD to	use a GIF	Month Week All Time
Donation GIFs PRO 0		Search For GIFs doworkson23
		ArrghhScott 4 Coreyyyy
		Llust_Crissl DakotaNorth76 johnnyo1363
Your Effect PRO 💿		Nullan Looperpanda
		€ ¹⁰ beerhead333 <u>View Donation Clips</u> ►
-	enthal added a GIF or an effect to her	month when the subscription automatically donation alert and proceeded to checkout.
		abs again failed to disclose any information
		bs Pro subscription. As a result, Leventhal 1
1 2	C	harged \$5.99 per month for the subscription
	cels the plan.	
42.	On the same day, in addition to the	\$5.00 she tipped to the streamer, Leventha
was also bil	ed \$5.99 by Streamlabs for Streamlab	os Pro enrollment through PayPal. Since the
Dlaint ff ha	been charged \$5.99 every month by	Streamlabs when the subscription automatic
r iaintiii nac		
	Leventhal did not realize the recur	ring charges until May 2021, when she rece
renewed. 43.		ring charges until May 2021, when she rece . She was shocked because she did not ever

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 12 of 20

1	an account on Streamlabs with the same email that was linked to her PayPal account. But she		
2	could not find a way to cancel the subscription.		
3	44. On May 10, 2021, Leventhal reached out to Streamlabs customer support to		
4	inquire about the charges by Streamlabs. She informed them that she had never agreed to enroll in		
5	any Streamlabs subscriptions and that she had been completely unaware of the charges.		
6	45. By that time, Streamlabs had already charged her a total of \$59.90 for the		
7	subscription, which had been automatically renewed monthly for ten months.		
8	46. On May 12, 2021, the Streamlabs representative responded that they would cancel		
9	Leventhal's Streamlabs Pro subscription but refused to refund her beyond \$5.99 for the most		
10	recent subscription month. In addition, the representative told her to avoid being automatically		
11	signed up for Streamlabs Pro, she should "make sure to not toggle on Pro effects or GIFs" when		
12	she donates.		
13	Other Consumers Experiences		
14	47. Just like Leventhal, there have been thousands of consumers who share similar		
15	experiences with Streamlabs Pro. Online forums such as Reddit, YouTube, and Twitter are filled		
16	with complaints by the Donators who have been unknowingly charged for Streamlabs Pro		
17	subscription for months.		
18	48. For instance, on Reddit, a Donator posted:		
19	Did a donation to a twitch streamer I was watching a few months back, but I don't		
20	remember signing up for a 5.99\$ per month subscription. Just found out now and good thing it has only been two months of charges without my consent. Did a bit of research		
21	and this has been an ongoing issue for years. A thread I came upon has one of the Streamlabs staff replying that they are hoping to find a fix. Well it's already been years		
22	and people are still having this issue.		
23	Are they not fixing this obviously because they are earning a lot from accidental signups? Which I think is yes because there has a lot of reports, discussions about it even from		
24	years ago, up to now. This just means there's obviously wrong with the donation system. This is not even counting people that are not aware of this issue and has recurring charges		
25	without any of their consent Source:https://www.reddit.com/r/Twitch/comments/gx81kl/charged 599 without consent on str		
26	eamlabs/ (last accessed January 11, 2022).		
27	49. On Twitter, people's accounts of their experiences are no different:		
28			
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 11		

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 13 of 20

1	If you[']ve ever donating to a creator and used Streamlabs you may want to check if you automatically got signed up for their more premium service since you already gave them	
2	your credit card info they just use that to sign you up for Streamlabs at \$5 a month.	
3	Did it to me.	
4	Source: <u>https://twitter.com/GraysenMack/status/1460748139736944640</u> (last accessed January	
5	11, 2022).	
6	50. Subsequent to the filing of Plaintiff's complaint, Streamlabs changed its website to	
7	add specific information about its automatic renewal policy, as well as information about how to	
8	cancel a Streamlabs Pro automatic subscription.	
9	CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	
10	51. Plaintiff brings this suit as a class action on behalf of herself and all others	
11	similarly situated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. This action may be properly maintained as a	
12	class action, as it satisfies the numerosity, commonality, typicality, adequacy, predominance, and	
13	superiority requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23.	
14	52. Plaintiff seeks to represent a nationwide class comprised of all persons in the	
15	United States who were enrolled in the Streamlabs Pro automatic renewal subscription after	
16	adding a GIF or effect to their donation, and were then billed \$5.99 per month for the subscription	
17	(the "Class").	
18	53. Upon completion of discovery with respect to scope of the Class, Plaintiff reserves	
19	the right to amend the Class definition. Excluded from the Class are Defendant, its parents, its	
20	subsidiaries and affiliates, directors and officers, and members of their immediate families.	
21	54. While the exact number of members cannot be determined, the Class consists of, at	
22	a minimum, thousands of persons across the United States that were charged \$5.99 per month for	
23	a Streamlabs Pro subscription that renews automatically. The members of the Class are therefore	
24	so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The precise number of Class members	
25	and their identities are unknown to Plaintiff at this time but may be determined through	
26	discovery.	
27	55. There are common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class,	
28	including, but not limited to:	

AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

1	a.	whether Defendant concealed or failed to adequately disclose that adding a	
2		GIF or effect to a donation would enroll a Donator in a Streamlabs Pro	
3		subscription;	
4	b.	whether Defendant concealed or failed to adequately disclose the automatic	
5		renewal nature of a Streamlabs Pro subscription;	
6	с.	whether Defendant's conduct violated the CLRA, Cal. Civ. Code §1750, et	
7		seq.;	
8	d.	whether Defendant's conduct constituted an unfair, unlawful, and/or	
9		fraudulent business practice in violation of the California UCL, Cal. Bus.	
10		& Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.;	
11	e.	whether Defendant's conduct damaged members of the Class and, if so, the	
12		measure of those damages; and	
13	f.	whether all members of the Class are entitled to seek actual and punitive	
14		damages, or other equitable relief, from Defendants.	
15	56. Plair	ntiff's respective claims are typical of the claims of the Class because Plaintiff	
16	and all Class memb	pers have sustained damages arising out of Streamlabs's common course of	
17	conduct as outlined	herein. The damages of each member of the Class were caused and are	
18	continuing to be ca	used by Streamlabs's business practices.	
19	57. Plair	ntiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the other	
20	Class members for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4). Plaintiffs are committed		
21	to pursuing this act	ion and have retained competent counsel experienced in litigation of this type,	
22	and class action litigation in particular. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to those of other		
23	Class members.		
24	58. Clas	as certification is appropriate under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3)	
25	because questions of law or fact common to class members predominate over any questions		
26	affecting only indiv	vidual members.	
27	59. A cl	ass action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient	
28	adjudication of this	controversy. Since the damages suffered by individual Class members may be	
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 13		

1	relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for				
2	the respective Class members to seek redress for the wrongful conduct alleged. Plaintiff's				
3	counsel, highly experienced in class action litigation, foresees little difficulty in the management				
4	of this case a class action.				
5	CAUSES OF ACTION				
6	<u>COUNT I</u>				
7	Violation of the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act				
8	Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et esq.				
9	60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations made in the previous				
10	paragraphs.				
11	61. The conduct of Defendant alleged above constitutes an unfair or deceptive act or				
12	practice in violation of the CLRA, Cal. Civ. Code §1750, et seq.				
13	62. Cal. Civ. Code §1761(c) defines a "person" as "an individual, partnership,				
14	corporation, limited liability company, association, or other group, however organized."				
15	Defendant is a person as defined by Cal. Civ. Code §1761(c).				
16	63. Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(d) defines a "consumer" as "an individual who seeks or				
17	acquires, by purchase or lease, any goods or services for personal, family, or household				
18	purposes." Plaintiff and Class members are consumers as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(d).				
19	64. Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(e) defines a "transaction" as "an agreement between a				
20	consumer and another person, whether or not the agreement is a contract enforceable by action,				
21	and includes the making of, and the performance pursuant to, that agreement." Plaintiff's				
22	enrollment to Streamlabs Pro subscription was a transaction under Cal. Civ. Code § 1761(e).				
23	65. The California CLRA prohibits "unfair or deceptive acts or practices undertaken				
24	by any person in a transaction intended to result or that results in the sale of services to any				
25	consumer," which, among other instances enumerated in the CLRA, include: "Representing that				
26	goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or				
27	quantities that they do not have" (§ 1770(a)(5)); or "Advertising goods or services with intent				
28	not to sell them as advertised" (§ 1770(a)(9)).				
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 14				

1 66. Defendant's conduct complained of herein violates Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(5) in
 2 that Defendant misrepresented that Streamlabs Pro had the characteristic of being a one-time
 3 purchase, which in fact it did not have.

- 67. As described above, when Donators added a GIF or an effect to their donation
 alerts, they saw a line item showing the \$5.99 cost for Streamlabs Pro and a notice stating the
 \$5.99 covers a month worth of service on the Confirmation Page. This presentation is misleading
 because it creates an impression that Streamlabs will only impose on them a one-time charge of
 \$5.99 for using Streamlabs Pro service. However, the truth is that after the first month,
 Streamlabs Pro automatically renews on a monthly basis, resulting in repeated charges on
 Donators' credit or debit cards for its service until the subscription is cancelled.
- 11 68. Defendant's conduct complained of herein violates Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a)(9) in
 12 that knowing Streamlabs Pro will be automatically renewed every month until it is cancelled, it
 13 still deceptively advertised Streamlabs Pro as a service that costs \$5.99 per month, without
 14 specifically mentioning that it would be automatically renewed.
- 69. As described above, Defendant advertised that the monthly fee for Streamlabs Pro
 is \$5.99. However, Defendant did not intend to sell Streamlabs Pro as advertised because it knew
 that the potential cost of Streamlabs Pro is likely to go beyond \$5.99 until the subscription is
 cancelled because it automatically renews. In reality, after Defendant charged Donators \$5.99 for
 using Pro features in the first month, it continues to charge them every month without providing
 advance notice thereof or obtaining any authorization or consent from Donators until the
 subscription is cancelled.
- 70. The presentations set forth above are of material facts that a reasonable consumer
 would have considered important in deciding whether to use a GIF or an effect to their donation
 alerts and to get enrolled in Streamlabs Pro. Plaintiff and class members justifiably relied upon
 Defendant's misrepresentations to their detriment.
- 26 71. Plaintiff and the other members of the Class have been, and continue to be, injured
 27 as a direct and proximate result of Streamlabs's violations of Cal. Civ. Code § 1770, and are
 28 entitled to seek recovery, as well as to pursue costs and attorneys' fees under § 1780(e).

1	72. Under the requirements of Cal. Civ. Code 1782, Plaintiff served a CLRA				
2	notification and demand letter on Defendant, via a certified letter, return receipt requested.				
3	73. The notice letter set forth the relevant facts, notified Defendant of its CLRA				
4	violations of Section 1770, and requested that Defendant promptly terminate the alleged unlawful				
5	or deceptive practices under Cal. Civ. Code § 1770(a), as well as make the appropriate correction,				
6	repair, replacement, or other remedy of the goods and services, including by refunding Plaintiff				
7	and all Class members any amount paid in excess of \$5.99.				
8	74. Defendant did not rectify its violations within the time period provided by the				
9	CLRA. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the class, hereby amends this Complaint to add				
10	damages claims.				
11	<u>COUNT II</u>				
12	Violation of the California Unfair Competition Law				
13	Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200, et seq.				
14	75. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all allegations made in the previous				
15	paragraphs.				
16	76. Plaintiff asserts this cause of action against Defendant for unlawful, unfair and				
17	fraudulent business practices; and unfair, deceptive, and misleading advertising, as defined by				
18	California's UCL.				
19	77. Defendant's conduct violates the UCL, as the acts and practices of Defendant				
20	constitute a common and continuing course of conduct by means of "unlawful," "unfair" and				
21	"fraudulent" business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.				
22	78. Defendant's conduct was fraudulent and thus amounts to unfair competition as set				
23	forth in the UCL, in that Defendant concealed the fact that a Streamlabs Pro subscription would				
24	automatically renew on a monthly basis and would impose recurring charges as part of the				
25	automatic renewal plan until cancelled. The lack of disclosure has deceived thousands of				
26	Donators.				
27	79. As described above, when Donators add Pro effects or GIFs to their donation alerts				
28	on the tip page, they were taken to the Confirmation Page in which a \$5.99 Streamlabs Pro				
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 16				

1 subscription fee was automatically added to the total amount of the charge. The Confirmation 2 Page also contained a link, which is embedded in a notice about the \$5.99 monthly charge, to the 3 Streamlabs Pro Website that covers some features of Streamlabs Pro subscription. Nowhere on 4 the tip page, the Confirmation Page, or the Streamlabs Pro Website did Defendant disclose to the 5 Donators that at the end of the service period, Streamlabs Pro would automatically renew for an 6 additional month and will continue to do so until cancelled; nor did Defendant disclose that the 7 renewal will impose recurring charges to their credit or debit cards through PayPal or other third 8 party payment processors until the subscription is cancelled. As a result of Defendant's actions, 9 Plaintiff and members of the Class have been unknowingly charged \$5.99 per month when their 10 Streamlabs Pro subscription automatically renewed.

11 80. Defendant's conduct is unlawful, and thus amounts to unfair competition as set
12 forth in the UCL, in that it violates, among other things, California Civil Code §§ 1572, 1709 and
13 1710, as well as California Business & Professions Code § 17500.

14 81. Defendant willfully deceived Plaintiff and Class members by omitting to disclose 15 the automatic renewal function of Streamlabs Pro subscription and misrepresenting the potential 16 cost of signing up for a Streamlabs Pro automatic renewal subscription until it is cancelled with 17 the intent to induce them to alter their positions to their injury. Defendant's concealment of the 18 automatic renewal terms of Streamlabs Pro, as well as its representation about the cost of 19 Streamlabs Pro on the Confirmation Page and the Streamlabs Pro Website were misleading. Also, 20 Defendant knew, or by exercising reasonable care should have known, such representations were 21 misleading.

82. As described above, Defendant failed to make Plaintiff and the Class members
aware of the automatic renewal nature of Streamlabs Pro subscription, such as the automatic
renewal arrangement and the recurring charges on their credit or debit cards as part of the
automatic renewal plan. By virtue of the omission, Plaintiff and the Class members were misled
to believe that the \$5.99 fee imposed by Defendant is a one-time, instead of repeating charges for
Streamlabs Pro service.

1	83. Defendant knowingly placed recurring monthly charges and retained wrongful			
2	funds from Plaintiff and members of the Class. Therefore, the Defendant acted with conscious			
3	disregard for the rights of Plaintiff and members of the Class.			
4	84. Defendant's conduct is unfair, and thus amounts to unfair competition as set forth			
5	in the UCL, in that it is immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous and substantially injurious			
6	to the Donators who end up with unexpected, continuous charges that cause financial distress.			
7	Thousands of consumers in the nation have been paying for a service that they don't believe they			
8	are enrolled in and, therefore, don't use.			
9	85. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendant's violations of the UCL, Plaintiffs			
10	and the Class suffered an injury in fact and have suffered monetary harm. Defendant, on the other			
11	hand, has been unjustly enriched and should be required to make restitution to Plaintiff and the			
12	class pursuant to Business & Professions Code § 17203.			
13	86. A constructive trust should be imposed upon all wrongful or inequitable proceeds			
14	received by Defendant traceable to Plaintiff and members of the Class.			
15	PRAYER FOR RELIEF			
16	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,			
17	requests that the Court award the following relief:			
18	a. Certify this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil			
19	Procedure 23;			
20	b. Appoint Plaintiff as representative of the Class, and designate the			
21	undersigned as Class Counsel;			
22	c. Declare Defendant's conduct unlawful;			
23	d. Award Plaintiff and the Class damages under common law and by statute,			
24	including punitive damages;			
25	e. Grant Plaintiff and the Class payment of the costs of prosecuting this action,			
26	including expert fees and expenses;			
27	f. Grant Plaintiff and the Class payment of reasonable attorneys' fees; and			
28	g. Grant such other equitable relief as the Court may deem just and proper.			
	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT			

Case 3:22-cv-01330-LB Document 31 Filed 07/05/22 Page 20 of 20

1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
2	Plaintiff and the Class members demand a trial by jury on all triable issues.		
3	Dated: July 5, 2022	Respectfully submitted,	
4		/s/ Patricia Avery	
5		Patricia Avery (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Philip M. Black (SBN 308619)	
6		WOLF POPPER LLP 845 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	
7		Telephone: (212) 759-4600 Facsimile: (212) 486-2093	
8		Email: pavery@wolfpopper.com pblack@wolfpopper.com	
9 10		Joseph J. Tabacco, Jr. (SBN 75484)	
10		Kristin J. Moody (SBN 206326) A. Chowning Poppler (SBN 272870)	
12		BERMAN TABACCO 425 California Street, Suite 2300	
13		San Francisco, CA 94104	
14		Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Email: jtabacco@bermantabacco.com	
15		kmoody@bermantabacco.com cpoppler@bermantabacco.com	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26 27			
27 28			
20	AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT		